

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,773	05/01/2001	Almerigo Sartore	1114	3677
7:	590 04/18/2003			
Daniel J O'Byrne			EXAMINER	
Via di Citille, 85 Greve, 50022			NGO, LIEN M	
ITALY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	7
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2003	, /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		An-	7
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan	09/830,773	SARTORE, ALMERIGO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAN INC DATE of this commission is	LIEN TM NGO	3727	-
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet t	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a refined. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of th od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	<u>3 February 2003</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice undo Disposition of Claims			is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-29</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdown			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-29</u> is/are rejected.			•
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers	·		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in	Application No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the present of t	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicati	on).
a) The translation of the foreign language p			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in page 2, the claim, for example, "according to claim 1" should not be mentioned in the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "planar element" in claim 24 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 3727

4. Claims 24 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one

skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession

of the claimed invention.

In claim 24, "a planar element" is not described in the specification.

In claim 28, it is not described in the specification how the "transverse curved groove so

shape" can permit downward insertion and preventing easy upward removal of a bottle within the

support frame.

5. Claims 16-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject

matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the

invention.

In claim 16, it is incorrect that the portions (the first and second portions of the arms) are

connected with no sharp bends, because a sharp bend is present at the lower portion of the arms

(best seen in fig. 19); and also it is incorrect that the arms have inside edges having a span that is

continuously increasing along said first portion, because both the outside edges and inside edges

of the arms have a span decreasing at their top ends where the arms connect to the anchoring post

(see fig. 1).

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3727

7. Claims 16-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 16 is indefinite because it cannot be determined what is "a curved space line" and "inside edges".

In claim 17, the language in the claims is not understood what applicant intend to claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States. (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 9. Claims 16 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Call (1,419,488). Call disclose, in fig. 1, a support structure for a bottle comprising a three dimensional frame, anchoring means 12, a rear anchoring post 9, two substantially symmetrical and diverging arms 15, the lower ends of said arm converging and being reciprocally joined to

Application/Control Number: 09/830773

Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

form a lower appendix 13, and inside edges of said arms having a span that is continuously increasing along a first portion and decreasing along a second portion. The first portion is directed upwardly and forwardly (examiner considers the arms include the portion 8 in fig. 1), and the second portion is directed downward and forwardly, which portions are connected and bent with substantially no sharp bends. The supporting frame further comprises a hole 16 located along the arms.

10. Claims 16, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schlanger et al. (5,145,138). Schlanger et al. disclose, in fig. 1, a support structure for a bottle comprising a three dimensional frame, anchoring means (screws), a rear anchoring post 22, two substantially symmetrical and diverging arms 50,52, the lower ends of said arm converging and being reciprocally joined to form a lower appendix 42, and inside edges of said arms having a span that is continuously increasing along a first portion and decreasing along a second portion. The first portion is directed upwardly and forwardly (the first portion of the arms include portion 56, and the second portion is directed downward and forwardly, which portions are connected and bent with substantially no sharp bends (examiner notes that because it does not know how are shape bends defined, thus, the portions are considered to be connected and bent with substantially no sharp bends). The supporting frame is made from a plastic plate (see col. 3, lines 1-3)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 3727

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Call or Schlanger et al. Call or Schlanger et al. do not disclose the inner diameter of the support structure equal to approximately 45mm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the Call or Rogers support structure having the inner diameter size above, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boessch, 617 F. 2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).
- 13. Claims 22, 23, 25-27 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schlanger et al. in view of Joffe (5,513,885). Schlanger et al. do not disclose the support structure having resilient means for gripping the bottle. Joffe teaches a bottle support structure having resilient means 32 for gripping bottle. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the Schlanger et al. bottle support structure with resilient gripping means, as taught by Joffe, in order to facilitate the capability to firmly hold the bottle.

Art Unit: 3727

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In response to applicant's argument that Call (1,419,488) does not teach diverging arms including a first portion which is directed upwardly and forwardly form the upper end of the anchoring post; however, that is not found convincing because, in fig. 1, Call disclose a bottle support structure comprising an anchoring post 9, a first portions 8 of diverging arms which is directed upwardly and forwardly form the upper end of the anchoring post.

Conclusion

15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Application/Control Number: 09/830773

Page 8

Art Unit: 3727

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final

action.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Lien Ngo whose telephone number is (703) 305-0294. The examiner can

normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful. The examiner's

supervisor, Lee Young, can be reached at (703)308-2572. The Group FAX number is (703) 305-

3579.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of the application should be

directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-1148.

Lien Ngo

April 15, 2003

LEFYOUNG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700